Expanding Stereochemical and Skeletal Diversity Using Petasis Reactions and 1,3-Dipolar Cycloadditions

LETTERS 2010 Vol. 12, No. 22 ⁵²³⁰-**⁵²³³**

ORGANIC

Giovanni Muncipinto,† Taner Kaya,‡ J. Anthony Wilson,‡ Naoya Kumagai,§ Paul A. Clemons,‡ and Stuart L. Schreiber*,‡

Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Broad Institute of Harvard and MIT, 7 Cambridge Center, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02142, United States, and Department of Chemistry and Chemical Biology, Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138, United States

*stuart_schreiber@har*V*ard.edu*

Received September 21, 2010

A short and modular synthetic pathway using intramolecular 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition reactions and yielding functionalized isoxazoles, isoxazolines, and isoxazolidines is described. The change in shape of previous compounds and those in this study is quantified and compared using principal moment-of-inertia shape analysis.

Small-molecule synthesis is enabling the testing of hypotheses concerning the structural properties that enable successful outcomes in probe and drug discovery. For example, diversity-oriented synthesis was used recently to illuminate roles for stereogenic elements and $sp³$ hybridization in the outcome of binding assays using a large panel of diverse proteins. Small molecules having these features showed increased specificity and hit frequency relative to those lacking these features.¹

Here, we report a short and modular synthetic pathway using the "build/couple/pair" strategy² with allylic alcohol rearrangements and intramolecular 1,3-dipolar cycloadditions of readily

10.1021/ol102266j 2010 American Chemical Society **Published on Web 10/26/2010**

synthesized and densely functionalized amino alcohols. The pathway yields functionalized isoxazoles, isoxazolines, and isoxazolidines. As in a previous study, 3 we used the Petasis three-component, boronic acid based Mannich reaction 4 in the couple phase, where lactols and boronic acids are joined with high *anti*-selectivity. By using different functional groups incorporated in the build phase, we were able to perform intramolecular "pairing" reactions yielding novel skeletons (Figure 1). Using computational analyses, we demonstrate quantitatively how the new pathway expands the scope of the previous study and of screening candidates in general.

The Petasis reaction of (*S*)-lactol **2** (from L-phenyllactic acid), amino acetal **3** (from L-phenylalaninol), and 4-methoxyphenylboronic acid under ambient conditions in CH_2Cl_2 afforded the *anti*-diastereomer **4** with dr 94:6 in 79% yield. The *N*-selective alkylation of **4** with propargyl bromide **5**

[†] Harvard University.

[‡] Broad Institute of Harvard and MIT.

[§] Current address: Graduate School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, The University of Tokyo.

⁽¹⁾ Clemons, P. A.; Bodycombe, N. E.; Carrinski, H. A.; Wilson, J. A.; Shamji, A. F.; Wagner, B. K.; Koehler, A. N.; Schreiber, S. L. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* Published ahead of print October 18, 2010. DOI:, 10.1073/ pnas.1012741107.

^{(2) (}a) Nielsen, T. E.; Schreiber, S. L. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2008**, *47*, 48–56. (b) Schreiber, S. L. *Nature* **2009**, *457*, 153–154.

⁽³⁾ Kumagai, N.; Muncipinto, G.; Schreiber, S. L. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2006**, *45*, 3635–3638.

^{(4) (}a) Petasis, N. A.; Zavialov, I. A. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1997**, *119*, 445–446. (b) Petasis, N. A.; Zavialov, I. A. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1998**, *120*, 11798–11799.

Figure 1. Comparison of previous and current study.

using microwave radiation afforded the template **1a** in 86% yield (Scheme 1). Standard conditions for the *N*-alkylation

resulted in a poor yield or decomposition of propargyl bromide.

We next explored allylic alcohol rearrangements with the templates **6** and **7** (Scheme 2). Acetylation of **1a** and selective

deprotection of *tert*-butyldiphenylsilyl ether of **1b** afforded **1c** in 93% yield over two steps. Compound **1c** was then subjected to stereoselective reductions of its alkyne moiety. The *trans* allylic alcohol 6 was obtained using LiAlH₄⁵ in

Although not yet explored, the removal of the trichloroacetyl group should provide a versatile primary amino function. Palladium(II)-catalyzed rearrangement of allylic acetate⁹ **6** furnished **10** as a single diastereomer in 78% yield. The allylic alcohol **6** was isomerized in the presence of $[PdCl₂(MeCN)₂]$ (10 mol %) in CH₂Cl₂ at room temperature overnight. All rearrangements proceeded with excellent stereoselectivity, yielding (*E*)-alkenes, and with complete transfer of chirality. Unfortunately, the same success was not achieved with the *cis* allylic alcohol **7**. Only the Eschenmoser-Claisen rearrangement proceeded successfully, giving the amide 11 in 92% yield as a single diastereomer.^{9b}

We next studied intramolecular nitrile oxide (INOC) and nitrone (INC) cycloadditions using **1c** and **⁶**-**¹¹** (Scheme 3).10,11 Nitrile oxides were generated *in situ* using *N*bromosuccinimide, catalytic pyridine, triethylamine, 12 and oximes derived from aldehyde derivatives of **1c** and **⁶**-**¹¹** with hydroxylamine hydrochloride $(65-79%)$. While standard acidic hydrolysis of the acetal failed, microwave-assisted conditions using catalytic pyridinium *p*-toluenesulfonate succeeded, generating the corresponding aldehydes of **1c** and $6 - 11^{13}$

Intramolecular cycloadditions of the corresponding nitrile oxides of these aldehydes bearing alkene or alkyne groups provided bicyclic compounds **¹²**-**¹⁶** (oxime formation; *N*-bromosuccinimide, catalytic pyridine and triethylamine in CH₂Cl₂ at -78 °C; 55 -75% yield). Compounds **14** and **16** were obtained as single diastereomers, whereas **13** and **15** were obtained as easily separable diastereomixtures. The

(10) (a) Garanti, L.; Sala, A.; Zecchi, G. *J. Org. Chem.* **1975**, *40*, 2403– 2406. (b) Padwa, A. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **1976**, *15*, 123–180. (c) Padwa, A. *1,3-Dipolar Cycloaddition Chemistry*; Padwa, A., Ed.;Wiley: New York, NY, 1984; Vol. 2, pp 368-372.

(11) LeBel, N. A.; Whang, J. J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1959**, *81*, 6334– 6335. (b) Padwa, A. *1,3-Dipolar Cycloaddition Chemistry*; Padwa, A., Ed.; Wiley: New York, NY, 1984; Vol. 2, pp 279-304.

(12) (a) Grundmann, C.; Richter, R. *J. Org. Chem.* **1968**, *33*, 476–478. For a comprehensive study of nitrile oxide in 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition, see: (b) Caramella, P.; Grunanger, P. *1,3-Dipolar Cycloaddtion Chemistry*; Padwa, A., Ed.; Wiley: New York, NY, 1984; Vol. 1, pp 291-392. (c) Torssell, K. B. G. *Nitrile Oxides, Nitrones, Nitronates in Organic Synthesis*; VCH: New York, NY, 1988; pp 55-74.

(13) Sterzycki, R. *Synthesis* **1979**, 724–725.

^{(5) (}a) Corey, E. J.; Katzenellenbogen, J. A.; Posner, G. H. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1967**, *89*, 4245–4247. (b) Grant, B.; Djerassi, C. *J. Org. Chem.* **1974**, *39*, 968–970.

⁽⁶⁾ Lindlar, H.; Dubuis, R. *Org. Synth.* **1966**, *46*, 89–92. (7) (a) Wick, A. E.; Felix, D.; Steen, K.; Eschenmoiser, A. *Hel*V*. Chim. Acta* **1964**, *47*, 2425–2429. (b) Williams, D. R.; Brugel, T. A. *Org. Lett.* **²⁰⁰⁰**, *²*, 1023–1026. (c) Castro, A. M. M. *Chem. Re*V*.* **²⁰⁰⁴**, *¹⁰⁴*, 2939– 3002.

^{(8) (}a) Overman, L. E. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1974**, *96*, 597–599. (b) Overman, L. E. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1976**, *98*, 2901–2910. (c) Overman, L. E. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **1980**, *13*, 218–224. (d) Nishikawa, T.; Asai, M.; Ohyabu, N.; Isobe, M. *J. Org. Chem.* **1998**, *63*, 188–192.

^{(9) (}a) Overman, L. E.; Knoll, F. M. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1979**, *20*, 321– 324. (b) Crilley, M. M. L.; Golding, B. T.; Pierpoint, C. *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1* **1988**, 2061–2067.

Scheme 3. Intramolecular Nitrile Oxide and Nitrone Cycloaddition Reactions*^a*

a **13**, **18** R = CH₂CONMe₂; **14**, **19** R = NHCOCCl₃; **15**, **20** R = OAc. Reagents and conditions: (a′) Ac2O, DMAP, py, rt; (a) MW, pyridinium *p*-toluensulfonate (PPTS) (30 mol %), acetone, 10 min at 80 °C, 15 min at 100 °C; (b) *N*-hydroxylamine hydrochloride, NaHCO₃, dry MeOH, rt; (b') *N*-methylhydroxylamine hydrochloride, NaHCO₃, dry toluene, rt to 80 °C; (c) Et₃N, py (cat.), NBS, dry CH₂Cl₂, -78 °C. [a] Single diastereomer. [b] **14**, **19**, and **20** single diastereomers; **13** dr 4:1, **15** dr 1.5:1, **18** dr 1:1.

stereochemistry was assigned by differential NOE spectroscopy and by comparing data from with similar compounds.¹⁴ Unfortunately, the acetal hydrolysis was not as successful with the scaffolds **6** and **7** due to decomposition in the acetal hydrolysis step, but the final isoxazolines, albeit in poor yield, were obtained (see Supporting Information).

When the unsubstituted hydroxylamine was replaced by *N*-methyl hydroxylamine hydrochloride with heating at 80 $\rm{^{\circ}C}$ in toluene,¹⁵ the presumed (*Z*)-nitrones¹⁶ yielded isoxazolidines **¹⁷**-**²¹** in 47-50% yield over 3 steps. Intramolecular nitrone cycloadditions of **6** and **7** proceeded in poor yield due to problems with the acetal hydrolysis. Moreover, when the alkyne group in **1c** was allowed to react with the nitrone under identical conditions, the expected isoxazoline was not isolated. Only **17** was obtained in appreciable yield (50%) over 3 steps.¹⁷ Water reacted with the unstable

K. B. G. *Nitrile Oxides, Nitrones, Nitronates in Organic Synthesis*; VCH: New York, NY, 1988; pp 75-93. (c) Annunziata, R.; Cinquini, M.; Cozzi, F.; Raimondi, L. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1988**, *29*, 2881–2884.

(17) LeBel, N. A.; Banucci, E. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1970**, *92*, 5278–80.

isoxazoline during the workup. Except for **18**, all isoxazolidines were obtained as stereomerically pure substances. The stereochemistry of the isoxazolidine rings was assigned using ¹H NMR, COSY, and differential NOE and by comparing data from with similar compounds (Table 1).^{18,19}

Figure 2. Nitrone group is attacked from (a) *re* side and (b) *si* side for **¹⁸**-**20**; (c) *re* side and (d) *si* side for **²¹**.

side having a minor steric interaction between nitrone oxygen and hydrogen at position 2 is more favorable than an attack from the *si* side having a major steric interaction between oxygen and benzyl group.20 The *trans*-orientation at positions 2 and 3 and *cis*-orientation at positions 3, 7, and 8 were assigned for **¹⁸**-**²⁰** from coupling constants and NOE measurements. This conformation benefits from the favorable *quasi*-equatorial positions of the substituents at position 2

⁽¹⁴⁾ Noguchi, M.; Tsukimoto, A.; Kadowaki, A.; Hikata, J.; Kakehi, A. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2007**, *48*, 3539–3542.

^{(15) (}a) Chou, S. P.; Yu, Y. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1997**, *38*, 4803–4806. (b) Aurich, H. G.; Geiger, M.; Gentes, C.; Harms, K.; Koster, H. *Tetrahedron* **1998**, *54*, 3181–3196. (c) Baskaran, S.; Aurich, H. G.; Biesemeier, F.; Harms, K. *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1* **1998**, 3717– 3724. (d) Hems, W. P.; Tan, C.; Stork, T.; Feeder, N.; Holmes, A. B. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1999**, *40*, 1393–1396. (e) Broggini, G.; La Rosa, C.; Pilati, T.; Terraneo, A.; Zecchi, G. *Tetrahedron* **2001**, *57*, 8323–8332. (f) Kalita, P. K.; Baruah, B.; Bhuyan, P. J. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2006**, *47*, 7779–7782. (16) (a) Tufariello, J. J. *1,3-Dipolar Cycloaddtion Chemistry*; Padwa, A., Ed.; Wiley: New York, NY, 1984; Vol. 2, pp 83-168. (b) Torssell,

^{(18) (}a) Oppolzer, W.; Keller, K. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1970**, *11*, 1117– 1120. (b) Gotoh, M.; Mizui, T.; Sun, B.; Hirayama, K.; Noguchi, M. *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1* **1995**, 1857–1862. (c) Tanaka, M.; Hikata, J.; Yamamoto, H.; Noguchi, M. *Heterocycles* **2001**, *55*, 223–226. (d) Chatterjee, A.; Bhattacharya, P. K. *J. Org. Chem.* **2005**, *71*, 345–348. (e) Shing, T. K. M.; Wong, A. W. F.; Ikeno, T.; Yamada, T. *J. Org. Chem.* **2006**, *71*, 3253–3263.

⁽¹⁹⁾ Hess, M.; Meier, H.; Zeeh, B. *Spektroskopische Methoden in der Organischen Chemie*; Georg Thieme Verlag: Stuttgart, 1991; p 105. (20) (a) Aurich, H. G.; Koster, H. *Tetrahedron* **1995**, *51*, 6285–6292.

⁽b) Kametani, T. *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1* **1989**, 2215–2221.

and 8 that are *quasi*-axial in the *si* side attack. For **21**, the two possible transition states show how the asymmetric induction by the intramolecular cycloaddition is primarily controlled by the stereogenic center next to the nitrone.

We performed a computational analysis of the molecular shape space spanned by the library described here (LIB1) and one described in our previous study $(LIB2)³$ We calculated normalized principal moment-of-inertia (PMI) ratios, $2¹$ which allow chemists to quantify molecular shapes in terms of intuitive geometric ideas of shape. Ratios of each of the two lower magnitude PMIs (*I*small, *I*medium) to the highest magnitude PMI (I_{large}) were plotted as characteristic coordinates $(I_{\text{small}}/I_{\text{large}}$, $I_{\text{medium}}/I_{\text{large}})$ of normalized PMI ratios for minimum-energy conformers of each compound (Figure 3).

Figure 3. Change in molecular shape introduced by new DOS library and PMI space comparison of LIB1 (this study) vs LIB2 (ref 3). (A) PMI space coverage for both libraries LIB1 (blue) and LIB2 (red). (B) Distance distributions for LIB1 (blue) vs LIB2 (red) relative to the canonical sphere; conceptual depiction of distances for two arbitrary data sets (inset). Point densities in binned PMI space for LIB2 (C) vs LIB1 (D).

Points in PMI plots occupy a triangle defined by the vertices $(0,1)$, $(0.5,0.5)$, and $(1,1)$ and corresponding to the canonical shapes of rod, disk, and sphere, respectively. To quantify the change in shape of LIB2 (42 structures) relative to LIB1 (31 structures), we calculated distances for members of both libraries from the geometric center of LIB2. These two populations of distances differed significantly in location and spread in PMI space using a Kolomorgov-Smirnov (KS) test.22 To understand this difference in terms of shape, we tested whether one library was significantly closer to the rod, disk, or sphere vertices of PMI space than the other. We also used the disk and sphere canonical shapes as reference points for our recently reported α shape-based descriptor.²³ Differences in α shape-based distances to the sphere shape were significant $(p = 1.16 \times 10^{-4})$, whereas those relative
to the flat shape were not. In PMI space, we found that to the flat shape were not. In PMI space, we found that differences between libraries relative to the sphere shape were significant ($p = 5.86 \times 10^{-4}$). Both results indicate that LIB1
molecules tend more toward a spherical shape than do LIB2 molecules tend more toward a spherical shape than do LIB2 molecules. Future studies of these libraries might entail more detailed examination of the relative roles of building blocks, skeletons, and stereochemistry on changes in shape.²⁴

We started this research with the hypothesis that densely substituted and skeletally diverse small molecules will facilitate successful outcomes in probe and drug discovery. This new DOS pathway should enable the further testing of this hypothesis following probe-development efforts. PMI shape analysis quantifies the differences between the two libraries and demonstrates how simple synthetic variations in functional groups, incorporated in the "build phase", can yield significant changes in molecular shape.

Acknowledgment. The NIGMS-sponsored Center of Excellence in Chemical Methodology and Library Development (P50-GM069721) enabled this research. G.M. thanks Yikai Wang currently at the Department of Chemistry and Chemical Biology (Harvard University), Drs. Michele Melchiorre currently at the Institute of the Organic Synthesis and Photoreactivity of CNR, Daniela Pizzirani currently at the Italian Institute of Technology (IIT), Manuela Rodriquez currently at the Department of Pharmacological Sciences (University of Salerno), Qiu Wang currently at the Broad Institute, and Masaaki Hirano currently at Astellas Pharma Inc. for helpful discussions. S.L.S. is an investigator with the Howard Hughes Medical Institute.

Supporting Information Available: Experimental procedures and full spectroscopic data for all new compounds. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

OL102266J

⁽²¹⁾ Sauer, W. H.; Schwarz, M. K. *J. Chem. Inf. Comput. Sci.* **2003**, *43*, 987–1003.

⁽²²⁾ Sheshkin, D. J. *Handbook of Parametric and Nonparametric Statistical Procedures*, 2nd ed.; Chapman & Hall/CRC: New York, 2004. (23) Wilson, J. A.; Bender, A.; Kaya, T.; Clemons, P. A. *J. Chem. Inf.*

Model. **2009**, *49*, 2231–2241.

⁽²⁴⁾ Pizzirani, D.; Kaya, T.; Clemons, P. A.; Schreiber, S. L. *Org. Lett.* **2010**, *12*, 2822–2825.